

6 June 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

Imagery Meeting - Briefing BOB

REFERENCE

Memo to DCI, dated I June 1966, Subject:

Report of Ad Hoc Joint Imagery Review Group

1. The briefing on the status of the Joint Imagery Interpretation study for Mr. Thomas and Mr. Hurley of the BOB was given as scheduled on 3 June. ________constituted the briefing team and General Carroll and I were the only others present. The briefing was along the same lines as that given in my office to CIA representatives on 5 May, with some refinements.

25X1

2. After the briefing Mr. Thomas expressed his appreciation and stated that he was much encouraged by the approach being taken and the obvious thoroughness of the study. Only a few questions were asked, the most pertinent from the CIA viewpoint concerned a questioning of the need for CIA having the requirement for Direct Intelligence Support Exploitation of imagery interpretation in all categories of intelligence where there are specific divisions of responsibility for Intelligence Production. Carroll expressed the same view but in a restrained manner. He has made similar comments at previous meetings but has always added that it is up to the DCI to determine what is necessary to support his function. I stated that the DCI, as the primary intelligence officer for the White House and the Government, requires an across-the-board capability in the intelligence arena with the imagery interpretation expertise to back it up in support of his responsibilities. My impression is that no views were changed by the discussion.

Approved For Release 2003/01/26 F01/4-D R80B01676R001600176005-4

3. Subsequent to the briefing and after the departure of Thomas and Hurley, a short conference was held on a serious problem which has arisen because the DIA has within the last week made major revisions in the original man-hour break out for the employment of photo interpreters. Every DOD element, including DIA, had significant changes with some changing in magnitude by close to a hundred per cent. Also, there were major changes in the categories in which the interpretations were employed. I expressed the view that I now had little confidence in the credibility of the original figures and no more in the new submissions. Carroll also expressed his concern to General Maples, who has been in charge of the DOD team. These changes, if accepted, will have a major effect upon work already accomplished by the joint team, including the invalidation of appendices which have been put in final form.

4. After some discussion it was	decided that	and	25X1
would select units in which major	or changes have b	een made	
in man hours reported, relate those ch	anges to any subs	tantive	
impact on the work done so far and adv	ise Maples of the	units in	
question. Maples will then provide a s hour employment as now reported. I w We have not yet determined th upon the report or upon its completion	vill accompany ne impact of the c	and	25X1
	5/		25X1
	Major General A/D/DCI/N	•	23/(1

cc: DCI DDCI ExDir-Compt DDI DDS&T NIPE PPB (Mr. Huntington D. Sheldon Mr. NIPE:JMR:ibm (6 June 66)

Distribution:

Approved For Release 2003/01/29 : SP RD 8001676R001600170005-4

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1